

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (34), No. 2, pp. 193-214, 2014

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

RESPONSE OF SWEET MARJORAM PLANTS TO ORGANIC AND BIO. AND/OR MINERAL FERTILIZATION TREATMENTS

Taha, Ragaa A.¹ and Ahmed, Basma R.²

1- Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. Egypt 2- Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza. Egypt

Received: 24 August 2014 Accepted: 29 October 2014

ABSTRACT

An experimental trail was carried out at the Floriculture Nursery, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., during the two successive seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 to study the effect of FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK on marjoram plants. The data showed that, using 25 m³/fed. FYM significantly increased vegetative growth (plant height, number of branches/plant/cut, herb fresh and dry weights/plant/cut and total herb yield/plant/season, as fresh and dry weights), oil %, production (essential oil oil yield/plant/cut and oil yield/plant/season) and chemical composition (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents, as well as, the percentages of N, P and K) over the other two used levels (15 and 20 m³/fed.) or control. Fertilizing plants with biofertilizers (phosphorein + Effective microorganisms), bio. + 50 or 75 % NPK dose or mineral NPK (full dose) led to significant increases in all previous studied characters comparing with control treatment. The treatment of bio. + 75 % NPK dose followed by NPK (full dose) treatment were the most effective ones in this concern. It may be recommended to use FYM at the rate of 25 m^3/fed . and treating plants with bio. + 75 % NPK dose to give the highest values of vegetative growth, essential oil productivity and chemical composition of marjoram plants.

INTRODUCTION

Sweet marjoram (*Origanum majoranum*, L.) plants belonging to Family Lamiaceae is an aromatic perennial herb. Its essential oil is used

as antimicrobial, antispasmodic, digestive, better tonic, diuretic, antidiabetic and antiparalytic drug (Yadava and Khare, 1995). Marjoram has a strong antioxidant activity, mainly because of its high content of phenolic acids and flavonoids, which is useful in health supplements and food preservation (Vagi *et al.*, 2005).

The effects of mineral fertilizers in increasing growth, essential oil percentage and yield, as well as, chemical composition of marjoram plants were reported by Refaat (1988), Hanafy (1989), El-Ghadban (1998), Dewidar (2007), Hussein *et al.* (2008) and Shalan (2009).

Many authors demonstrated that organic fertilization treatments significantly increased vegetative growth, essential oil production, as well as, chemical constituents of marjoram plants (Aflatuni *et al.*, 1993; El-Ghadban, 1998; El-Sayed *et al.*, 2002; Edris *et al.*, 2003; Gharib *et al.*, 2008; El-Ghandour *et al.*, 2009 and Al-Fraihat *et al.*, 2011).

The important role of biofertilizers (phosphorein or E.M.) in increasing vegetative growth, essential oil production, as well as, chemical composition of marjoram plants is recognized by Abo El-Ala (2002), Eid and El-Ghawwas (2002), Kandeel and

The main plots included four farmyard

Sharaf (2003), Mahfouz (2003), El-Hindi and El-Boraie (2005), Massoud (2007), Hussein *et al.* (2008) and Erika *et al.* (2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work was carried out during the two successive seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 at the Floriculture Nursery, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. to study the response of *Origanum majoranum*, L. plants to farmyard manure and bio-fertilizer and/or mineral NPK fertilization treatments.

The seeds of marjoram were obtained from Agric. the Research Center of Medicinal and Aromatic plants section, Giza (Egypt), and were sown in the Nursery on November 24th in both seasons. The seedlings were transplanted on March 11th of both seasons. The experimental unit (plot) was 1.5×2.0 m and containing 3 rows, 50 cm apart. The seedlings were cultivated in hills, 40 cm apart, therefore, each plot contained 15 plants.

were devoted to five treatments

	Va	lue	Soil Character	Soil Character		
Soil Character	2011/	2012	-		2011/	2012/
	2012	/2013			2012	2013
Sand %	28.30	28.78	Available P %		15.13	15.57
Silt %	29.99	30.55	Exch. K^+ mg/100		2.12	2.81
Clay %	41.71	40.67	Exch. Ca ⁺⁺ mg/10)0 g	31.76	31.14
Soil type	Clay loam	Clay loam	Exch. Na ⁺ mg/10	0 g	2.42	2.50
Organic matter %	1.54	1.62	Fe	-	8.51	8.21
Ca CO ₃ %	1.58	1.54	Cu		2.07	2.01
pH (1: 2.5)	7.84	7.71	DTPA Zn		2.76	2.89
E. C. (m mhos $/$ cm)	1.08	1.04	Ext. ppm	Mn	8.24	8.12
Total N %	0.07	0.08				
The layout of	this experim	ent was	manure (FYM)			
split plot design w	ith three rep	plicates.	and 25 m^3/fed .)	, wh	ile, the	sub-plots

 Table (a): Physical and chemical analysis of the used soil in both seasons :

^{- 194 -}

fertilizer), namely control (no phosphorein +Effective microorganisms (phos. + E.M.), phos. + E.M. + 50 % NPK, phos. + E.M. + 75 % NPK and 100 % NPK (recommended dose). The mineral NPK recommended fertilization, i.e. 300, 150 and 75 kg/fed. of ammonium nitrate (33.5 %

N), calcium superphosphate (15.5 % P_2O_5) and potassium sulphate (48 % K_2O), respectively. So, 50 and 75 % NPK were 150, 75 and 37.5 kg/fed. and 225, 112.5 and 56.25 kg/fed respectively. Farmyard manure was added during preparing the soil to cultivation in both seasons.

Table (b): Physical and chemical properties of the used FYM:

Properties	2011/	2012/	Properties	2011/	2012/
_	2012	2013		2012	2013
Organic matter (%)	27.25	27.75	K (%)	1.11	1.21
Carbon (%)	15.6	15.75	Fe (ppm)	979.4	818.6
Total N (%)	0.83	0.94	Zn (ppm)	271.1	269.2
C/N ratio	18.80	16.76	Mn (ppm)	227.3	237.5
Humidity (%)	8.11	7.99	pH 1:10	7.45	7.21
P (%)	0.26	0.29	E. C. (m mhos / cm)	1.08	1.06

The biofertilizers were applied three times to the soil beside the plants at the rate of 0.3 g/plant of phosphorein and 50 cm^3/hill of Effective microorganisms (1 ml = 10^7 cells of bacteria). The first dose was two added after weeks from transplanting date and repeated two weeks after the first and second cuts. Chemical fertilizers were applied in three equal doses, the first one was added 21 days after transplanting and repeated three weeks after the first and second cuts. All agricultural practices were performed as usual in the region.

The plants were harvested 3 times/season on June 29^{th} , August 17^{th} and November 6^{th} by cutting the vegetative parts at 8 cm above the soil surface.

The following data were recorded or calculated in the three cuts during the two seasons; plant height (cm), number of branches, herb fresh and dry weights/plant/cut and /plant/season.

Chemical analysis; total chlorophylls (mg/g. F.W.)were determined in fresh leaves according to Moran (1982). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (%) were determined according to Wilde et al. (1985), Chapman and Pratt (1975) and Cottenie et al. (1982), respectively. Essential oil (%) of herb was determined according to British Pharmacopoeia (1963), essential oil yield (ml/plant/cut and /plant).

The obtained data were statistically analysed according to MSTAT-C (1986). The differences between means were tested using the L.S.D. at 5 % level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1-Effect of FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization on the

- 195 -

vegetative growth of Origanum majoranum *plant* :

Data presented in Tables (1 to 5) showed that plant height, number of branches/plant/cut, herb fresh and dry weights/plant/cut and total herb fresh and dry weights/plant/season were significantly increased due to the three tested FYM fertilization treatments compared with the control treatment in both seasons. The treatment of FYM at the high level (25 m³/fed.) was superior than the other treatments in this concern.

The stupendous effect of FYM may be due to the fact that the decomposition of organic matter decreased the pН value and consequently nutrients in the soil which became more available to plant, hence, it enhanced plant growth. Moreover the increment in number of branches in the second and third cuts may be due to removing the terminal buds (Nijjar, 1985 and Lambers et al., 2000). Similar results were obtained by El-Sayed et al. (2002); Gharib et al. (2008); El-Ghandour et al. (2009) and Al-Fraihat et al. (2011) on marjoram plants.

All used bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization treatments led to significant enhancement of vegetative growth traits (plant height, number of branches/plant/cut, herb fresh and dry weights/plant/cut, as well as, total herb vield either fresh or drv weight/plant/season) in comparison with control treatment. The highest resulted values were from the treatment of bio + 75 % NPK followed by the treatment of 100 % NPK

without significant differences between them in all cases.

The stimulatory effects of the treatment of biofertilizers (phosphorein effective and microorganisms) plus 75 % NPK or NPK (full dose) on growth might be due to the increase in N, P and K, which came from adding NPK. Moreover the increase in N, P, vitamins, auxins, gibberellins, sugars and amino acids adding bacteria, that enhanced plant growth (Sprenat, 1990, El-Merich et al., 1997 and Lambers et al.. 2000). Similar results were obtained by Dewidar (2007); Hussein et al. (2008) and Shalan (2009) on marjoram concerning the effects of mineral NPK. The important role of biofertilizers in increasing vegetative growth of marjoram plants is recognized by Mahfouz (2003); El-Hindi and El-Boraie (2005) and Erika et al. (2008).

The interaction between FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization treatments was significant for the six previous parameters in both seasons. The best interaction treatments, were FYM at 25 m³/fed. in combination with bio. + 75 % NPK or full dose of NPK.

2-Effect of FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization on essential oil production of marjoram plant.

Data presented in Tables (6, 7 and 8) revealed that the three levels of FYM treatments increased essential oil % and oil yield (ml/plant/cut and /plant/season) over the control with significant differences between themselves. The most effective treatment, which gave the greatest

- 196 -

essential oil % and yield was the high level (25 $m^3/fed.$) of FYM. The stimulatory effect of FYM on essential oil % may be due to that organic fertilization enhances oil biosynthesis. It is evident from data that both traits of herb weight/plant/cut and essential oil % affected the values of essential oil yield/plant during the three cuts in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those of Edris et al. (2003) and Gharib et al. (2008) on marjoram plants, Ateia et al. (2009) on Thymus vulgaris and Abdou et al. (2012a) on Mentha piperita.

The obtained results in Tables (6, 7 and 8) proved that the essential oil % and essential oil yield/plant/cut were significantly increased due to all used four treatments of bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization when compared to the control during the three cuts in both seasons. Also, all treatments significantly increased essential oil vield/plant/season, in both seasons, compared with control treatment. The most effective treatment which produced the highest essential oil % vield/plant/cut and oil or per plant/season was phosphorein + E.M. + 75 % NPK dose. The plants which received biofertilizers plus reduced dose of mineral NPK contained the highest essential oil and this result may be due to that fertilization enhances oil biosynthesis. In addition to the better role of microorganisms for increasing enzymes, vitamins, proteins, analysis of compounds in soil, promoting hormone and other beneficial substances (organic acids), which enhanced oil biosynthesis.

In this respect, Erika *et al.* (2008) on marjoram and Youssef *et al.* (2004) on sage found that application of biofertilizers increased essential oil productivity. While, Massoud (2007) on marjoram, Golez *et al.* (2006) on sweet basil and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium obtained an increase in essential oil production due to fertilizing plants with NPK.

The interaction between FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization treatments was significant for oil % and oil yield (per plant per cut and per plant per season) in both seasons. The highest values were obtained with FYM (25 m^3/fed .) in combination with bio. + 75 % NPK dose (Tables 6, 7 and 8).

3- Effect of FYM and bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization on chemical composition of marjoram plant:

3-a- Photosynthetic pigments:

Data presented in Table (9) showed that all levels of FYM significantly increased chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids over control treatment in both seasons. The high level of FYM $(25 \text{ m}^3/\text{fed.})$ gave significantly the chlorophyll highest a. b and carotenoids contents over other used treatments. Similar results were obtained by El-Ghadban et al. (2008) on lavender plants and Abdou et al. (2012b) on sage plants.

A significant and positive influence of the four used treatments on chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents were recorded in the present study. The treatments of bio. (phosphorein + E.M.) + 75 % NPK followed by mineral NPK (full dose)

- 197 -

were recorded in the present study. The treatments of bio. (phosphorein + E.M.) + 75 % NPK followed by mineral NPK (full dose) were the most effective ones in this concern without significant differences between such two superior treatments in both seasons (Table, 9). Regarding the positive effect of biofertilizers on pigments, these results are in agreement with those obtained by Mosaad (2012) on sage. While, El-Shora (2009) on Mentha piperita and Ibrahim (2010) on geranium stated that mineral NPK fertilization increased chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents.

The interaction between main and sub-plots was significant for chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b in both seasons. The highest values were obtained due to fertilizing plants with 25 m^3 /fed. FYM in combination with bio. + 75 NPK dose or mineral NPK (full dose).

3-b- N, P and K percentages :

Data presented in Table (10) showed that the high level of FYM (25 m^{3} /fed.) gave significantly the highest N, P and K % over the two tested levels of FYM or control. These results proved to be true in the two seasons. The increment in nitrogen and phosphorus percentage in the dry leaves by using the FYM may be due to the increasing of available N and P in root zone of marioram plant as a result of analysis of organic manure which reflect on N and P uptake by plants. Also, FYM led to decrease of pH soil which has an important role in potassium absorption from the soil (Gad, 2001). These results are in

agreement with those reported by El-Ghadban *et al.* (2003) and El-Leithy *et al.* (2007) on *Origanium* spp.

Regarding the effect of bio. and/or mineral NPK fertilization treatments, all four used treatments significantly increased N, P and K % in both seasons over that of check plants (control). The highest values of N, P and K % resulted from the treatment of bio. + 75 % NPK dose followed by mineral NPK (full dose) without significant differences between them in case of N and K % in both seasons.

The increasing of N % was expected since the biofertilizer was application effective microorganisms (E.M.) with adding of NPK which enhance nitrogen uptake. The stimulatory effect bio. + 75 % NPK on P % may be due to increasing available phosphorus in root zone of plants as a result to adding NPK or inoculation with phosphate-dissolving bacteria, which reflect on phosphorus uptake by plants. The stimulatory effect on K % may be due to the cooperation of activation roles of both biofertilizers and mineral NPK.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Kandeel and Sharaf (2003), Mahfouz (2003), El-Hindi and El-Boraie (2005), Massoud (2007) and Hussein *et al.* (2008) on marjoram regarding the effects of biofertilizers and Gharib *et al.* (2008), El-Ghandour *et al.* (2009) and Al-Fraihat *et al.* (2011) on marjoram concerning the effects of mineral NPK.

- 198 -

Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)										
0.0	15	20		Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B	
				1 st cut						
26.10	28.60	31.21	33.75	29.92	27.11	29.75	32.35	34.90	31.03	
29.18	31.80	34.41	36.95	33.09	30.29	32.94	35.62	38.00	34.21	
31.34	33.95	36.61	37.15	34.76	32.57	35.00	37.84	38.31	35.93	
34.45	37.16	40.94	43.53	39.02	35.67	38.35	42.00	44.81	40.21	
34.15	36.85	39.60	42.21	38.20	35.25	38.00	40.85	43.42	39.38	
31.04	33.67	36.55	38.72		32.18	34.81	37.85	39.89		
A: 0.	10	B: 0.83		AB: 1.66	A: 0.32	2	B: 0.84		AB: 1.68	
			2	2 nd cut						
23.81	25.91	28.18	30.25	27.04	24.71	26.95	29.31	31.38	28.09	
26.35	28.90	31.25	33.85	30.09	27.15	29.81	32.31	35.15	31.15	
27.18	29.85	32.45	34.95	31.11	29.10	31.71	34.25	36.71	32.94	
30.15	32.71	35.55	38.00	34.10	30.70	33.45	36.71	38.90	34.94	
30.00	32.55	35.40	37.50	33.86	30.16	32.90	35.58	38.11	34.19	
27.50	29.98	32.57	34.91		28.36	30.96	33.63	36.05		
A: 0.	93	B: 0.84		AB: 1.68	A: 0.25	5	B: 0.78		AB: 1.56	
			-	3 rd cut						
									28.50	
									31.53	
27.56	30.30	32.88	35.37	31.53	29.53	32.15	34.66	37.18	33.38	
30.65	33.25	36.05	38.51	34.62	31.19	33.95	37.22	39.43	35.45	
30.54	32.99	35.90	38.01	34.36	30.67	33.41	36.09	38.69	34.72	
27.96	30.44	33.02	35.33		28.81	31.42	34.09	36.53		
A:	1.44	B: 0.	31	AB: 0.62	A: 0.51	l	B: 0.74		AB: 1.48	
	26.10 29.18 31.34 34.45 34.15 31.04 A: 0. 23.81 26.35 27.18 30.15 30.00 27.50 A: 0. 24.23 26.80 27.56 30.65 30.54 27.96	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

 Table (1): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on plant height (cm) of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

T	1		2011
Ian	a and	Ahmed	/11/4
1 unu	$i, \alpha i \alpha$	mmuu	, 2017

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments	1 st season				2 ^{na} s				eason		
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
				1 st cu	ıt						
Control	9.83	14.10	19.00	21.81	16.19	10.65	14.89	19.58	22.66	16.99	
Phos. + E.M.	13.81	18.55	22.95	25.75	20.27	14.71	18.99	23.65	26.71	21.02	
Bio + 50 % NPK	15.95	20.81	25.00	27.88	22.41	14.48	20.12	25.98	31.43	23.00	
Bio + 75 % NPK	19.11	23.95	28.41	31.25	25.68	17.55	23.33	29.15	34.88	26.23	
100 % NPK	19.00	23.75	28.16	31.11	25.51	17.40	23.18	29.00	34.65	26.06	
Mean (A)	15.54	20.23	24.70	27.56		14.96	20.10	25.47	30.07		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.2	8	B: 0.20		AB: 0.40	A: 0.2	5	B: 0.21		AB: 0.42	
		2^{na} cut									
Control	11.80	17.06	22.80	26.18	19.46	12.78	17.87	23.50	27.20	20.34	
Phos. + E.M.	16.81	22.55	27.87	31.25	24.62	17.95	23.17	28.85	32.59	25.64	
Bio + 50 % NPK	19.46	25.39	30.50	34.01	27.34	17.67	24.58	31.70	38.35	28.08	
Bio + 75 % NPK	23.51	29.25	34.94	38.44	31.54	21.60	28.75	35.86	42.90	32.28	
100 % NPK	23.37	29.21	34.64	38.27	31.37	21.40	28.51	35.69	42.62	32.06	
Mean (A)	18.99	24.69	30.15	33.63		18.28	24.58	31.12	36.73		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.3	1	B: 0.38		AB: 0.76	A: 0.4	5	B: 0.51		AB: 1.02	
				3 ^{ra} cu							
Control	18.29	26.44	35.34	40.58	30.16	19.81	27.70	36.43	42.16	31.53	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	26.05	34.95	43.20	48.45	38.16	27.82	35.91	44.72	50.52	39.74	
Bio + 50 % NPK	30.16	39.36	47.29	52.75	42.39	37.49	38.10	49.14	59.44	43.54	
Bio + 75 % NPK	36.54	45.44	54.26	59.68	48.98	33.58	44.66	55.68	66.60	50.13	
100 % NPK	36.22	45.28	53.69	59.32	48.63	33.17	44.19	55.32	66.06	49.69	
Mean (A)	29.45	38.29	46.76	52.16		28.37	38.11	48.26	56.96		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.8		B: 0.42		AB: 0.84	A: 0.9	2	B: 0.54		AB: 1.08	
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effect	tive microor	ganisms; .	Bio. = Phos	$E_{1} + EM_{2}$							

 Table (2): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on number of branches/plant of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 200 -

Bio. and/or NPK treatments	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)									
	1 st season					2 nd season				
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)
				1 st cu	t					
Control	22.3	32.0	43.0	48.0	36.3	24.2	33.8	44.5	51.4	38.5
Phos. + E.M.	31.4	42.1	52.1	58.4	46.0	33.4	43.1	53.7	60.6	47.7
Bio + 50 % NPK	36.2	47.2	56.8	63.3	50.9	32.9	45.7	58.9	71.4	52.2
Bio + 75 % NPK	43.4	54.4	64.5	70.9	58.3	39.8	53.0	66.2	79.2	59.6
100 % NPK	43.1	53.9	63.8	70.5	57.8	39.5	52.6	65.8	78.7	59.2
Mean (A)	35.3	45.9	56.0	62.2		34.0	45.6	57.8	68.3	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.6	9	B: 0.50		AB: 1.0	A: 1.	1	B: 0.5		AB: 1.0
				$2^{n\alpha}$ cu	ıt					
Control	26.9	38.9	52.0	59.7	44.4	29.1	40.7	53.6	59.8	45.8
Phos. + E.M.	38.5	51.4	63.5	71.3	56.2	40.9	52.8	65.8	74.3	58.5
Bio + 50 % NPK	44.4	57.9	69.5	77.5	62.3	40.3	56.0	72.3	87.4	64.0
Bio + 75 % NPK	53.6	66.7	79.7	87.6	71.9	49.2	65.6	81.8	97.8	73.6
100 % NPK	53.3	66.6	78.9	87.3	71.5	48.8	65.0	81.4	97.2	73.1
Mean (A)	43.3	56.3	68.7	76.7		41.7	56.0	71.0	83.3	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 1.	3	B: 1.1		AB: 2.2	A: 1.4	4	B: 1.3		AB: 2.6
				3 rd ct						
Control	41.5	60.0	80.2	92.1	68.5	44.9	62.9	82.7	95.7	71.6
Phos. $+$ E.M.	59.1	79.3	98.0	109.0	86.4	63.1	81.5	101.5	114.5	90.2
Bio + 50 % NPK	68.4	89.3	107.3	119.7	96.2	62.4	86.4	111.5	134.0	98.6
Bio + 75 % NPK	82.9	103.1	123.1	135.4	111.1	76.2	101.3	126.3	151.2	113.8
100 % NPK	82.2	102.7	121.8	134.6	110.3	75.2	100.3	125.6	149.9	112.8
Mean (A)	66.8	86.9	106.1	118.2		64.4	86.5	109.5	129.1	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 1.0	5	B: 1.4		AB: 2.8	A: 1.4	4	B: 1.8		AB: 3.6
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effecti	ve microorg	ganisms; I	Bio. = Phos.	+ EM.						

Table (3): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on herb fresh weight of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 201 -

		ha	and	hmed		11/1
1	u	uu.	unu	imeu	. 20	114

Bio. and/or NPK treatments	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)									
	1 st season				2 nd season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)
				1 st cı	ıt					
Control	8.47	12.16	16.34	18.24	13.80	9.20	12.84	16.91	19.53	14.62
Phos. + E.M.	11.61	15.57	19.27	21.60	17.01	12.35	15.94	19.86	22.42	17.64
Bio + 50 % NPK	13.03	16.99	20.45	22.79	18.32	11.84	16.45	21.20	25.70	18.80
Bio + 75 % NPK	15.19	19.04	22.58	24.82	20.41	13.93	18.55	23.17	27.72	20.84
100 % NPK	15.10	18.87	22.33	24.68	20.25	13.83	18.41	23.03	27.55	20.71
Mean (A)	12.68	16.53	20.19	22.43		12.23	16.44	20.83	24.58	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.3	8	B: 0.48		AB: 0.96	A: 0.4	7	B: 0.46		AB: 0.92
				2^{nd} c	ut					
Control	9.68	14.00	18.72	21.49	15.97	10.48	14.65	19.30	21.53	16.49
Phos. + E.M.	13.86	18.50	22.86	25.67	20.22	14.72	19.01	23.69	26.75	21.04
Bio + 50 % NPK	15.54	20.27	24.33	26.25	21.60	14.11	19.60	25.31	30.59	22.40
Bio + 75 % NPK	18.22	22.68	27.10	29.78	24.45	16.73	22.30	27.81	33.25	25.02
100 % NPK	18.12	22.64	26.83	29.68	24.32	16.59	22.10	27.68	33.05	24.86
Mean (A)	15.08	19.62	24.00	26.68		14.53	19.53	24.76	29.03	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.5	8	B: 0.72		AB: 1.44	A: 0.4	8	B: 0.75		AB: 1.50
				3 rd ci	ıt					
Control	14.53	21.00	28.07	32.24	23.96	15.72	22.02	28.95	33.50	25.05
Phos. + E.M.	20.68	27.75	34.30	38.15	30.22	22.08	28.52	35.52	40.11	31.56
Bio + 50 % NPK	23.25	30.36	36.48	40.69	32.70	21.21	29.37	37.91	45.55	33.51
Bio + 75 % NPK	27.35	34.02	40.62	44.68	36.67	25.14	33.42	41.67	49.90	37.53
100 % NPK	27.13	33.89	40.19	44.41	36.41	24.82	33.00	41.45	49.47	37.19
Mean (A)	22.59	29.40	35.93	40.03		21.79	29.27	37.10	43.71	
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.5	6	B: 0.69		AB: 1.38	A: 0.6	6	B: 0.86		AB: 1.72
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effect	tive microor	ganisms; .	Bio. = Phos	с. <i>+ ЕМ</i> .						

Table (4): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on herb dry weight of Origanum majoranum, L. in	n the
first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.	

- 202 -

Table (5a): Effect of FYM and bio. a	nd/or NPK fertilization treatmen	ts on total fresh weigh	t herb/plant/season (g/plant) of
Origanum majoranum, L. during	g 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons		

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m^3 /fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments			1 st sease	on		2^{nd} season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
		Tota	l fresh wei	ght of her	b/plant/season	(g/plant)					
Control	90.7	131.5	181.2	199.8	150.80	98.2	137.4	180.8	206.9	155.83	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	128.8	172.8	213.6	238.7	188.48	137.4	177.4	221.0	249.5	196.33	
Bio + 50 % NPK	149.0	194.4	233.6	260.5	209.38	135.6	188.1	242.7	292.8	214.80	
Bio + 75 % NPK	179.9	224.2	267.3	293.9	241.33	165.2	219.9	274.3	328.2	246.90	
100 % NPK	178.6	223.2	264.5	292.4	239.68	163.5	217.8	272.8	325.8	244.98	
Mean (A)	145.4	189.22	232.04	257.06		139.98	188.12	238.32	280.64		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 13	.1	B: 18.4		AB: 36.7	A:15.	6	B:16.2		AB: 32.4	

- 203 -

		Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)									
Bio. and/or NPK treatments			1 st sease	on				2 nd sea	son		
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
		Total	dry weigh	t of hert	/plant/ season (g/plant)					
Control	32.68	47.16	63.13	71.97	53.74	35.40	49.51	65.16	74.53	56.15	
Phos. + E.M.	46.15	61.82	76.43	85.42	67.46	49.15	63.47	79.07	89.29	70.25	
Bio + 50 % NPK	51.82	67.62	81.26	89.73	72.61	47.16	65.42	84.42	101.84	74.71	
Bio + 75 % NPK	60.76	75.79	90.30	99.28	81.53	55.80	74.27	92.65	110.87	83.40	
100 % NPK	60.35	76.40	89.25	98.77	81.19	55.24	73.51	92.16	110.07	82.75	
Mean (A)	50.35	65.76	80.07	89.03		48.55	65.24	82.69	97.32		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 4.0	0	B: 5.10		AB: 10.20	A: 2.0	0	B: 3.40		AB: 6.80	
P	hos. = Pho	osphorein	$F_{i}; E.M. = I$	Effective	microorganism	is; Bio. = P	hos. + E	М.			

 Table (5b): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on total fresh weight of herb/plant/season (g/plant) of Origanum majoranum, L. during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 204 -

Taha	and	Ahmed	2011
rana,	unu	Anmeu	, 2014

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments	1 st season					2^{na} season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
				1 st ct	ıt						
Control	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.80	0.77	0.74	0.77	0.81	0.84	0.79	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.83	0.86	0.93	0.99	0.90	0.85	0.88	0.95	1.01	0.92	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.85	0.94	1.05	1.18	1.01	0.87	0.96	1.06	1.22	1.03	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.99	1.23	1.45	1.57	1.31	1.02	1.26	1.49	1.63	1.35	
100 % NPK	0.94	0.99	1.21	1.33	1.12	0.97	1.05	1.25	1.39	1.17	
Mean (A)	0.87	0.95	1.08	1.17		0.89	0.98	1.11	1.22		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0)4	B: 0.05		AB: 0.10	A: 0.0)5	B: 0.05		AB: 0.10	
				$2^{n\alpha}$ cu	ıt						
Control	0.75	0.78	0.80	0.84	0.79	0.76	0.80	0.83	0.88	0.82	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.87	0.92	0.99	1.06	0.96	0.88	0.94	1.02	1.10	0.99	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.88	0.98	1.11	1.23	1.05	0.89	1.01	1.14	1.26	1.07	
Bio + 75 % NPK	1.18	1.31	1.57	1.69	1.44	1.22	1.35	1.63	1.74	1.49	
100 % NPK	1.00	1.24	1.43	1.59	1.32	1.04	1.29	1.50	1.69	1.38	
Mean (A)	0.94	1.05	1.18	1.28		0.96	1.08	1.22	1.33		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0)6	B: 0.06		AB: 0.12	A: 0.0)7	B: 0.05		AB: 0.10	
				3^{ra} cu							
Control	0.69	0.72	0.75	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.75	0.79	0.83	0.77	
Phos. + E.M.	0.79	0.82	0.88	0.94	0.86	0.82	0.86	0.93	0.99	0.90	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.81	0.89	1.00	1.12	0.96	0.84	0.91	1.03	1.16	0.99	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.97	1.19	1.33	1.51	1.25	0.99	1.22	1.36	1.57	1.29	
100 % NPK	0.92	0.97	1.12	1.22	1.06	0.95	1.02	1.17	1.28	1.11	
Mean (A)	0.84	0.92	1.02	1.11		0.86	0.95	1.06	1.17		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0		B: 0.06		AB: 0.12	A: 0.0)6	B: 0.07		AB: 0.14	
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effect	tive microo	organisr	ns; Bio. =	Phos. +	EM.						

Table (6): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on essential oil % /cut of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 205 -

Taha	and	Ahmed	2011
rana,	unu	Anmeu	, 2014

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments	1 st season					2 nd season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
				1 st cu	it						
Control	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.12	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.10	0.13	0.18	0.21	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.19	0.23	0.17	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.11	0.16	0.21	0.27	0.19	0.10	0.16	0.22	0.31	0.20	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.15	0.23	0.33	0.39	0.28	0.14	0.23	0.35	0.45	0.29	
100 % NPK	0.14	0.19	0.27	0.33	0.23	0.13	0.19	0.29	0.38	0.25	
Mean (A)	0.11	0.16	0.22	0.27		0.11	0.16	0.24	0.31		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0	4	B: 0.04		AB:0.08	A: 0.0)4	B: 0.03		AB: 0.06	
				$2^{n\alpha}$ cu	ıt						
Control	0.07	0.11	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.14	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.27	0.20	0.13	0.18	0.24	0.29	0.21	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.32	0.23	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.39	0.25	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.21	0.30	0.43	0.50	0.36	0.20	0.30	0.45	0.58	0.38	
100 % NPK	0.18	0.29	0.38	0.47	0.33	0.17	0.29	0.42	0.56	0.36	
Mean (A)	0.15	0.21	0.29	0.35		0.14	0.22	0.31	0.40		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0)5	B: 0.07		AB: 0.14	A: 0.0)7	B: 0.05		AB: 0.10	
				3 ^{ra} cu	ıt						
Control	0.10	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.18	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.28	0.20	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.16	0.23	0.30	0.36	0.26	0.18	0.25	0.33	0.40	0.29	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.19	0.27	0.36	0.46	0.32	0.18	0.27	0.39	0.53	0.34	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.27	0.41	0.54	0.67	0.47	0.25	0.41	0.57	0.78	0.50	
100 % NPK	0.25	0.33	0.45	0.54	0.39	0.24	0.34	0.48	0.63	0.42	
Mean (A)	0.19	0.28	0.37	0.46		0.19	0.28	0.39	0.52		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A :0.0		B:0.07		AB:0.14	A :0.0)6	B:0.06		AB:0.12	
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effec	ctive micro	organis	ms; Bio. =	Phos	+ <i>EM</i> .						

Table (7): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on essential oil yield /cut of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 206 -

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)											
Bio. and/or NPK treatments			1 st seas	son			2 nd season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)		
	r	Fotal fr	esh weight	of herb	/plant/season (g/j	plant)						
Control	0.23	0.35	0.49	0.58	0.41	0.26	0.38	0.53	0.63	0.45		
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.38	0.53	0.71	0.84	0.62	0.42	0.56	0.76	0.92	0.66		
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.44	0.63	0.85	1.05	0.74	0.41	0.62	0.90	1.23	0.79		
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.63	0.94	1.29	1.57	1.11	0.60	0.94	1.37	1.81	1.18		
100 % NPK	0.57	0.81	1.10	1.34	0.96	0.54	0.81	1.19	1.57	1.03		
Mean (A)	0.45	0.65	0.89	1.08		0.44	0.67	0.95	1.23			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.1	5	B: 0.010		AB: 0.20	A:0.1	8	B:0.11		AB:0.22		
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effect	ive micro	organis	ms; Bio. =	Phos.	+ <i>EM</i> .							

Table (8): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on essential oil yield/plant/season of Origanum majoranum, L. during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 207 -

Taha,	and	A	hmed.	2014
I will,	unu	1 11	max	2011

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m ³ /fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments		1 st seaso		$2^{n\alpha}$ season							
-	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
				Chloropl	hyll a						
Control	2.713	2.743	2.773	2.783	2.753	2.749	2.787	2.806	2.827	2.797	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	2.790	2.821	2.852	2.863	2.832	2.815	2.858	2.885	2.888	2.862	
Bio + 50 % NPK	2.817	2.848	2.877	2.888	2.858	2.851	2.900	2.935	2.941	2.907	
Bio + 75 % NPK	2.844	2.876	2.906	2.926	2.888	2.870	2.915	2.958	2.973	2.929	
100 % NPK	2.836	2.875	2.903	2.921	2.884	2.865	2.911	2.956	2.970	2.926	
Mean (A)	2.800	2.833	2.858	2.874		2.829	2.874	2.908	2.920		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0	14	B: 0.009		AB: 0.018	A: 0.0	11	B: 0.007		AB: 0.014	
			(Chloropl	hyll b						
Control	0.894	0.904	0.915	0.916	0.907	0.906	0.918	0.931	0.932	0.922	
Phos. $+$ E.M.	0.920	0.930	0.940	0.944	0.934	0.928	0.942	0.951	0.952	0.943	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.927	0.928	0.947	0.950	0.941	0.938	0.955	0.966	0.968	0.957	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.937	0.949	0.956	0.968	0.953	0.951	0.965	0.976	0.988	0.970	
100 % NPK	0.935	0.946	0.954	0.964	0.950	0.948	0.964	0.975	0.986	0.968	
Mean (A)	0.923	0.933	0.942	0.948		0.934	0.949	0.960	0.965		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00)6	B: 0.005		AB: 0.010	A: 0.00)5	B: 0.005		AB: 0.010	
				Caroten	oids						
Control	0.915	0.925	0.935	0.938	0.928	0.928	0.940	0.953	0.954	0.944	
Phos. + E.M.	0.950	0.961	0.971	0.975	0.964	0.958	0.973	0.982	0.983	0.974	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.956	0.967	0.977	0.982	0.971	0.970	0.986	0.990	0.995	0.985	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.962	0.976	0.982	0.995	0.979	0.975	0.990	0.993	0.999	0.989	
100 % NPK	0.961	0.974	0.982	0.993	0.978	0.975	0.988	0.990	0.996	0.987	
Mean (A)	0.949	0.961	0.969	0.977		0.961	0.975	0.982	0.985		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00		B: 0.006		AB: N.S.	A: 0.00		B: 0.003	_	AB: N.S.	
<i>Phos.</i> = <i>Phosphorein</i> ; $E.M. = Ef$	fective mic	roorgan	isms; Bio.	= Phos.	+ <i>EM</i> .						

Table (9): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids (mg/g f.w.) of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 208 -

	Farmyard manure levels (FYM as m³/fed.) (A)										
Bio. and/or NPK treatments	1 st season					2 nd season					
	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	0.0	15	20	25	Mean (B)	
				N %							
Control	3.09	3.35	3.77	4.18	3.60	3.15	3.48	3.86	4.23	3.68	
Phos. + E.M.	3.20	3.52	3.97	4.43	3.78	3.35	3.58	4.08	4.45	3.87	
Bio + 50 % NPK	3.23	3.59	4.02	4.50	3.83	3.37	3.69	4.12	4.59	3.94	
Bio + 75 % NPK	2.97	3.72	4.13	4.62	3.86	3.43	3.83	4.23	4.72	4.05	
100 % NPK	3.25	3.62	4.06	4.53	3.86	3.39	3.75	4.18	4.63	3.99	
Mean (A)	3.15	3.56	3.99	4.45		3.34	3.67	4.09	4.52		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0	9	B: 0.04		AB: 0.08	A: 0.0	7	B: 0.06		AB: 0.12	
				Р%							
Control	0.385	0.443	0.481	0.537	0.461	0.397	0.462	0.500	0.551	0.478	
Phos. + E.M.	0.417	0.467	0.517	0.563	0.491	0.424	0.489	0.520	0.581	0.503	
Bio + 50 % NPK	0.423	0.470	0.522	0.572	0.497	0.438	0.485	0.535	0.579	0.509	
Bio + 75 % NPK	0.441	0.479	0.534	0.577	0.508	0.454	0.498	0.544	0.587	0.521	
100 % NPK	0.429	0.471	0.524	0.570	0.499	0.447	0.491	0.541	0.586	0.516	
Mean (A)	0.419	0.466	0.516	0.564		0.432	0.485	0.528	0.577		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.00)2	B: 0.003		AB: 0.006	A: 0.00	3	B: 0.004		AB: 0.007	
				K %							
Control	2.18	2.67	3.08	3.38	2.83	2.28	2.82	3.21	3.58	2.97	
Phos. + E.M.	2.46	2.91	3.31	3.68	3.09	2.56	2.97	3.35	3.88	3.19	
Bio + 50 % NPK	2.55	2.96	3.31	3.71	3.13	2.61	3.06	3.38	3.88	3.23	
Bio + 75 % NPK	2.65	3.02	3.35	3.86	3.22	2.73	3.18	3.46	4.03	3.35	
100 % NPK	2.57	3.00	3.34	3.83	3.19	2.71	3.10	3.50	3.96	3.32	
Mean (A)	2.48	2.91	3.28	3.69		2.58	3.03	3.38	3.87		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.0	2	B: 0.04		AB: 0.08	A: 0.02	2	B: 0.03		AB: 0.07	
Phos. = Phosphorein; E.M. = Effecti	ve microorg	anisms; E	Bio. = Phos.	+ EM.							

Table (10): Effect of FYM and bio. and/or NPK fertilization treatments on N, P and K percentages of Origanum majoranum, L. in the first, second and third cuts during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

- 209 -

The interaction between the two main factors was significant for N, P and K % in both seasons. The highest values of N, P and K % were resulted from the treatment of FYM at 25 m^3 /fed. in combination with bio. + 75 % NPK or 100 % NPK (Table, 10).

REFERENCES

- Abdou, M.A.H.; Abdalla, M.Y.; Helaly, A. and Mosaad, S. (2012b): Physiological studies on sage plant. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. 32 (2) :161-186.
- Abdou, M.A.H.; Attia, F.A.; Ahmed, E.T.; El-Sayed, A.A. and Abd-El-Naeem L.M. (2012a): Physiological studies on mint plants. Proc. Second Inter. Conf. of Physiol. Microb. and Ecological Plant Sci. (29-30 April, 2012) Fac. of Science, Minia Univ., pp: 207-228.
- Abo El-Ala, H.K. (2002): Biofertilization techniques used for improving production of some medicinal plants in desert soil. Int. Environ. Studies and Res. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Aflatuni, A.; Palevitch, D. and Putievsky, E. (1993): The effect of manure composted with drum composter on aromatic plants. International Symposium on Medicinal and Aromatic plants, Tiberias on The Sea of Galilee, Israel, 22 – 25 Mar. 1993. Acta – Hort. 344: 63 – 68.
- Al-Fraihat, A.H.; Al-dalain, S.Y.A.;Al-Rawashdeh, Z.B.; Abou-Darwish, M.S. and Al-Tabbal,J.A. (2011): Effect of organic and biofertilizers on growth, herb

yield and volatile oil of marjoram plant. J. of medicinal plants Res., 5 (13): 2822-2833.

- Ateia, E.M.; Osman, Y.A.H. and Meawad, A.E.A. (2009): Effect of organic fertilization on yield and active constituents of *Thymus vulgaris*, L. under North Sinai conditions. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 5 (4): 555 – 565.
- British Pharmacopoeia (1963): Determination of volatile oil drugs. The Pharmaceutical Press, London.
- Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F. (1975): Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water, Calif. Univ. Division of Agric. Sci., 172-174.
- Cottenie, A.; Verloo, M.; Velghe, M. and Camerlynck, R. (1982): Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Laboratory of Analytical and Agro Chemistry. State Univ., Ghent, Belgium.
- Dewidar, A.A.A. (2007): Post harvest studies on some aromatic plants.Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Kafr El – Sheikh Univ., Egypt.
- Edris, A.E.; Ahmad, S. and Fadel, H.M (2003): Effect of organic agriculture practices on the volatile aroma components of some essential oil plants growing in Egypt 11: Sweet marjoram (*Origanum majoranum*, L.) essential oil. Flavour Fragr. J., 4: 345-351.
- Eid, M.I. and El-Ghawwas, E.O. (2002): Study on the response of marjoram plants to biofertilizer in sandy soil. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 17 (3): 163 175.
- 210 -

- El-Ghadban, E.A.E. (1998): Effect of some organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of spearmint and marjoram plants. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo. Univ., Egypt.
- El-Ghadban, E. A. E.; El–Tobgy, K.M.K. and Soliman, S.G.I. (2008): Response of lavender plant (*Lavandula multifida*, L.) to compost and active dry yeast. J. Product. & Dev., 13 (1): 79 – 99.
- El-Ghadban, E. A. E.; Ghallab, A.M. and Abdel – Wahab, A.F. (2003): Effect of some organic fertilizer and biofertilization on growth, yield and chemical composition of marjoram plants under newly reclaimed soil conditions. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28 (9): 6957 – 6973.
- El-Ghandour, I.A.; Desouky, E.M.; Galal, Y.G.; Arafa, R.A. and Abou Seer, A.M. (2009): Effect of biofertilizers and organic phosphorus amendments on growth and essential oil of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*). Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci., 1 (1): 29-36.
- El-Hindi, K.M. and El-Boraie, E.A. (2005): Effect of some growth, biofertilizers the on chemical essential oil and composition of marjoram plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (12): 7912 - 7928.
- El-Leithy, A.S.; El Hanafy, S.H.M.; Ahmed, G.E., Etman, M.A. and Ali, M.Y.M.C. (2007): Effect of compost, bio-fertilizer and active dry yeast on *Origanum syriacum*,

L. plants under Sinia conditions. J. Product. & Dev., 12 (1): 153 – 171.

- El-Merich, C.; De- Zamarozy, M.; Arsene, F.; Pereg, T.; Paquellin, A. and Kaminski, A. (1997): Regulation NIF gene expression and nitrogen metabolism in *Azospirillum* Soil Biol. And Biochem., 29 (5 – 6): 847 – 852.
- El-Sayed, A.A.; Mansour, H.A. and El – Maadawy, E.I. (2002): Effect of organic and inorganic fertilization on herb and oil productivity of spearmint and marjoram. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29 (6): 1859 – 1888.
- El-Shora, S.H.A. (2009): Physiological studies on *Mentha* spp. (fertilization-post harvest treatments). M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ.
- Erika, B.; Pablo, C.B.; Julio, Z. and Walter, G. (2008): Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria improve growth and essential oil yield in *Origanum majoranum*, L. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 36 (2008): 766 – 771.
- Gad, W.M.A. (2001): Physiological studies on *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill and *Anethum graveolens*, L. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- Gharib, F.A.; Moussa, L.A. and Massoud, O.N. (2008): Effect of compost and bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and essential oil of sweet marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*) plant. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 10: 381-388.
- Golez, A.; Politycka, B. and Lozykowska, S. (2006): The

- 211 -

effect of nitrogen fertilization and stage of plant development on the mass and quality of sweet basil leaves (*Ocimum basilicum*, L.). ERBA Polonica, 52 (112): 22 – 30.

- Hanafy, M.S (1989): Effect of chemical fertilization and salinity on production of herb and essential oil of marjoram plants (*Majorana hortensis* Moench). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Hussein, H.A.A.; El-Hindi, K.M. and Shalan, M.M.N. (2008):
 Efficiency of organic and biofertilizers on growth, yield and essential oil of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis* L.). Plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33(10): 7383-7401.
- Ibrahim, T.I.E. (2010): Physiological studies on geranium plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Kandeel, A.M. and Sharaf, M.S. (2003): Productivity of Majorana hortensis, L. plants as influenced by the interaction between mineral and biological fertilization. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28 (2): 1373-1389.
- Lambers, H.; Chapin, F. S. and Pons, T. L. (2000): Plant Physiology Ecology. Springer – Verlag. New York. Inc.
- Mahfouz, S.A.S. (2003): Effect of biofertilization on growth and oil production of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*, Moench) plant. . Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.

- Massoud, H.Y.A. (2007): Effect of mineral and bio-phosphate fertilization on the growth, essential oil productivity and chemical composition of marjoram plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (2): 1293-1308.
- Moran, R. (1982): Formula determination of chlorophylls Pigments extracted with N-N dimethyl-formamide. Plant Physiological. 69: 1376-1381.
- Mosaad, S.E. (2012): Physiological studies on sage plant. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- MSTAT-C (1986): A Microcomputer Program for the Design, Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (Version 4.0), Michigan Stat Univ., U.S.
- Nijjar, G. S. (1985): Nutrition of Fruit trees. Mrs. Usha Raj Kumar for Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, pp. 10 – 52.
- Refaat, Azza, M. (1988): Effect of fertilization levels, methods of drying and periods of storage on the sweet marjoram herb yield and its active ingredients. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ.
- Shalan, M.M.N. (2009): Efficiency of organic and biofertilizers on growth, yield and essential oil of marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*, L.) plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Mansoura, Egypt.
- Sprenat, M. (1990): Nitrogen fixing organisms, p.5. Chapman and Hall

- 212 -

London.

- Vagi, E.; Rapavi, E.; Hadolin, M.; Blazovics, A. and Simandi, B (2005): Phenolic, triterpenoid antioxidants from *Origanum majoranum*, L. herb and extracts obtained with different solvents. J. Agric. food Chem., 12: 17-21.
- Wilde, S.A.; Covey, R.P.; Lyer, J.C. and Voigt, G.K. (1985): Soil and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford, IBH. Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.
- Yadava, R.N. and Khare, M.K. (1995): A triterpenoid from *Majorana hortensis*. Fiitoterapia., 66 (2): 185.
- Youssef, A.A.; Edris, A.E. and Gomaa, A.M. (2004): А comparative study between some plant growth microorganisms on growth and essential oil composition of Salvia officinalis L. plants Ann. of Agric. Sci., Cairo, 44 (1): 229 – 311.

الملخص العربى

استجابة البردقوش لمعاملات التسميد العضوي والحيوي والمعدني رجاء على طه¹، بسمه رشوان احمد رشوان²

1- قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنيا – مصر 2- معهد بحوث الأراضي و المياه و البيئة ، مركز البحوث الزراعية ، الجيزة ، مصر

أ حَجري هذا البحث في مشتل الزينة كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنيا في موسمي 2012/2011 و 2013/2012 ويهدف إلى دراسة تأثير سماد المزرعة والسماد الحيوي أو المعدني أو هما معاً على نباتات البردقوش.

أوضحت النتائج أن استعمال السماد العضوي بمستوى 25 م³/فدان أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في النمو الخضري (ارتفاع النبات ، عدد الفروع/نبات/حشة ، وزن العشب طازج وجاف للنبات/حشة ووزن العشب طازج وجاف للنبات/موسم) وإنتاجية الزيت (النسبة المئوية للزيت العطري ، محصول الزيت للنبات/حشة ومحصول الزيت للنبات/موسم) والمكونات الكيماوية (محتوى كلوروفيل أ ، ب والكاروتينويدات والنسب المئوية لكل من النتروجين والفسفور والبوتاسيوم) مقارنة بالمعاملتين الأخرتين (15 ، 20 م³/فدان) أو معاملة الكنترول.

تسميد النباتات بالأسمدة الحيوية (الفسفورين+الميكروبات الدقيقة النشطة) والحيوية + 50 أو 75 % ن فو بو أو الجرعة الكاملة للسماد المعدني (ن فو بو) أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في كل الصفات المدروسة السابقة مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول. معاملة التسميد الحيوي + 75 % ن فو بو تليها معاملة التسميد المعدني ن فو بو (جرعة كاملة) كانتا أكثر فاعلية في هذا الصدد.

يمكن التوصية باستعمال السماد العضوي عند 25 م³/فدان سماد المزرعة مع معاملة النباتات بخليط من السماد الحيوي + 75 % من السماد المعدني (ن فو بو) للحصول على أفضل نمو وإنتاجية للعشب والزيت العطري والتركيب الكيماوي للنبات.